

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Áddress:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

A	PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST	IAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	09/241,131 02/01/99 ITO			K .	09448-69US	
		MM42/1209 7			EXAMINER	
•	PANITCH SCHWARZE JACOBS & NADEL			SMITH.M		
		ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	22ND FLOOI PHILADELPI	HILADELPHIA PA 19103-7086			2852	4
	·		•	•	DATE MAILED:	12/09/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding. # ## ## ## ##

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

TENTING

Application No. 09/241,311 Applicant(s)

lto

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Matthew S. Smith

Group Art Unit 2852

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for f in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to e is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X eaim(s) 3 and 4	•
☐ €laims	
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing II The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of to a received. Treceived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	d to by the Examiner. isapproveddisapproved. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). The priority documents have been Deer) International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Note Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

<u>INFORMALITIES</u>

Objections to the Title of the Invention

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Suggestion:

Control of the Speed of Printing and the Fuser Temperature Based Upon Monochromatic

Objections to the Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

on page 7, line 31, "no" should read as "not"...

Appropriate correction is required.

PRIOR ART REJECTIONS

Statutory Basis

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

or Full-Color Copying.

The disclosure is on page 7, line 3

Appropriate con

The Rejections

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nami et al. in view of Tonai et al. and Ohno et al. in view of Tonai et al..

Both of the base references teach controlling the fixing temperature of the fuser in a photocopying device. Each of these references detail an ability to receive and determine whether a monocolor or full color image is forthcoming. Based upon this detection, these references change the fusing temperature of a fixing roller. Additionally, each describe the notoriously well known situation wherein the speed of reproduction of a monocolor image is faster than a full color image. The only feature not disclosed is the plurality of image forming units spaced along a line of travel of the recording medium. This manner of color image formation is notoriously well known in the art of which the Examiner takes Official Notice. In support of this assertion, the Examiner cites Tonai et al. Tonai discloses a copier which can produce monocolor copies as well as full color copies. This is done by having a plurality of image forming units in sequence above a belt which carries the recording medium passed the image forming units. If a monocolor image is desired, the color image forming units are spaced from contacting and transferring any image thereon to the recording medium and only the black unit(or monocolor unit) is positioned so as transfer a toner image. This form of color/monocolor copier is one of a variety of orientations provided in the prior art. Nakamura et al.(see below in cited art) teaches a rotary unit wherein the plurality of image forming units are provided in a rotating carousel. The Nami and Ohno references highlight an equivalent alternative design where the toner image or images are placed

upon a single photoconductive member and then are transferred to a recording sheet. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to construct the monocolor/full color copying device provided in Nami and Ohno with the form provided in Tonai as both are functionally and structurally equivalent manners of recreating monochromatic or polychromatic images.

STATUS OF THE REMAINING CLAIMS

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In these claims, the applicant includes limitations as to the melting points of the toner used in the monocolor image and the toner used in the full color image. The prior art does not show this distinction. The closest reference(Oka et al.) details the exact opposite orientation as claimed by the applicant.

OTHER PRIOR ART

Tokishige et al, Sano and Saito each disclose control of the fixing temperature based upon whether a monocolor or multicolor image is being created.

Nakamura et al., described above, teaches that a monocolor image is inherently created faster than a color image due to the fact that the rotary member carrying the plurality of image forming units need not rotate.

Oka teaches an orientation of the image forming units and by necessity the first toner image created must be created with a toner having a higher melting point than the second color image formed by the second toner.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew S. Smith whose telephone number is (703) 308-1323.

MATTHEW S. SMITH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2100

mss

December 5, 1999